IFTA CAC MEETING -03/18/2010

Participants: Garry Hinkley, Lonette Turner, Pat Platt, Jason DeGraf, Scott Greenawalt, Gary Frohlick, Randy Boone, Bill Kron, Debra Stuart, Nikki Bachelder

Also, Bob VanBuren and Kate Roy from New York participated.

Scott Miller did not participate.

<u>LAST CONFERENCE CALL</u> – February 18, 2010.

<u>FEBRUARY MINUTES</u> – February CAC minutes were accepted without any changes.

COMMITTEE EXPANSION - Garry welcomed Bob and Kate from New York. Bob works for the New York tax department and the RPC. Bob should be an official member starting next month. He will represent the Northeast Region and the RPC. The RPC also suggested Leri McClure from Michigan. She is technically from the Midwest, but the Midwest is already represented by Randy and Scott M. However, we may need at large members. Since Garry H. is the chair, he doesn't fill a northeast slot. Leri could be penciled into a northeast slot. It shouldn't be an issue with the Board. Gary F. has made some inquires about getting a Canadian member. Gary F. was looking for someone from the east and also from a non-participating member, but may have to change criteria a bit. No one has talked to anyone from the west. Bill suggested Tim Ford. The Committee would like someone not in the RPC, but will pencil Tim in if someone isnt found. Lonette will send emails out to Idaho, Colorado and Utah asking for possible members. Garry H. or Pat will follow up with those jurisdictions. Once there are more members, Garry H. will select a vice chair.

<u>ITAC UPDATE</u> – Debbie M. filled in for Scott M. The last ITAC call was last week. The group is very busy on the board's charge. The group has broken out into subcommittees and working on questions. They are compiling a list of vendors who supply software and hardware devises and are waiting for information from jurisdictions. The information will be compiled for the board. The list of questions is out on the message board. The members would like a vendor who will be able to supply both the software and hardware.

Montana emailed a list of their GPS rules/laws that allow GPS mileage data to be used. Idaho has new IFTA record keeping rules as well. The committee has asked Idaho to provide those rules.

Where is IRP heading with GPS? The IFTA and IRP subcommittees meet quarterly and are working on audit compliance. IRP is waiting to see what info is compiled so that work isn't duplicated.

<u>NLETS</u> – A news letter is being put together and will feature IFTA. It will contain instructions on how to look up revoked data. The newsletter will go out to about 47,000 people.

Garry H. reported that there is an ACCB call this afternoon. Quality of names and DOT numbers are not on the agenda, but he will be attending just in case it is brought up. Mark Bell, who is a consultant with the University of Kentucky and working on CVIEW and CVISN, was also on last month's call. A revoked list is being pulled out of data, put into excel and is being given to law enforcement. Currently 15 states receive the revoked data.

<u>FUNDS NETTING</u> – Over \$32 million was transferred and closed out on 3/3/10. 3 jurisdictions funded late but came in on the day funds were sent out, so the late jurisdictions funds were sent out as well. The funds were not going to be held onto. The prorate function is being watched very closely. Right now, the resolution is coming right down to the penny. One more in house manual has to be written. JP Morgan Chase converted the funds but didn't tell anyone. After a few calls, everything was straightened out and worked well and JP Morgan Chase's conversion was right. Debbie M. said a non-compliance list has been started. The 3 jurisdictions that were late will be held as non-compliant for their review.

It is not clear what the conclusion of the Vermont/Massachusetts issue was. There has been no word from either jurisdiction since the last email. The accounting in VT will be messed up if they didn't follow instructions. This situation only goes to show what needs to be done to enforce rules or make rules clearer.

NEW BUSINESS — Pat had put in a request and Jason put it out for review. Pat wants to be able to search audits by company name. Jason said there isnt any reason why we shouldn't be able to do that, but he doesn't want to monitor all that. Some jurisdictions are not putting their tax payer ID on the audit information. Nevada is putting their assigned audit number, which is 4 numbers. Jason said he will talk to Nevada about it. Bill asked if the field can be set so it will make the user enter in 11 numbers. Some jurisdictions stopped using the taxpayer ID because of identity theft. Staff shouldn't be uploading audits. Pat explained that they don't ever see the entire audit data. All jurisdictions should be double checking that their audits posted when done. Audit file names need to be consistent. Jason asked for a formal approval. Bill made a motion for an approval of Pat's request. A vote was taken and all members approved the request.

NEXT CONFERENCE CALL – Next call will be April 15, 2010 at 11:00 a.m. EST.